

# Seasonal to decadal-scale climate predictions for marine resource management

Presented by: Charles Stock  
(on behalf of project team and  
collaborators)



# Seasonal to decadal-scale climate predictions for marine resource management

- OAR Special Early-Stage Experimental or Development (SEED) project with additional support from NMFS S&T
- Charles Stock, Gabe Vecchi, Desiree Tommasi (GFDL), Mike Alexander (ESRL), Kathy Pegion (formerly CIRES/ESRL, now George Mason University), Nick Bond (PMEL), and Yan Xue (NCEP/CPC)
- Leverage and build on NMFS and NOS collaborations, starting with Paula Fratantoni (NEFSC), Todd O'Brien (NMFS S&T), Trond Kristiansen (IMR, Bergen)

## Three broad tasks:

- Assess seasonal-decadal predictability of ecosystem relevant climate variables
- Communicate results and build capacity across NOAA (June 3-5 Workshop)
- Develop “case study” applications of seasonal to decadal climate predictions to marine resource science and management

# Predictability of SST anomalies in shelf ecosystems

- SST anomalies are both leading indicators and important drivers of ecosystem fluctuations
- Assessment of SST predictions has been strongly skewed toward basin-scale variations (e.g., ENSO) and SSTs often viewed as precursors to predicting regional air temp/precip anomalies
- For marine resources, SST anomalies are of direct interest, and predictions along continental margins are essential

# Challenges


- Global SST reanalyses used as “observations” in evaluating predictions can be challenged in coastal systems
- Coarse resolution of global forecast systems may degrade coastal forecast skill
- Prominent sources of unpredictable local variation may “swamp” signals from more predictable large-scale patterns

# Challenges

- Global SST reanalyses used as “observations” in evaluating predictions can be challenged in coastal systems
- Coarse resolution of global forecast systems may degrade coastal forecast skill
- Prominent sources of unpredictable local variation may “swamp” signals from more predictable large-scale patterns

How useful are global SST anomaly predictions to LMR management coastal systems?

# Synthesize predictability across Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs)



**Large Marine Ecosystems:** Coherent ocean areas, generally along continental margins whose ecological systems are characterized by similarities in bathymetry, hydrography and biological productivity, and whose plant and animal populations are inextricably linked to one another in the food chain (Sherman and Alexander, 1986)

# Reynolds-OISST.v2 consistent with “raw” WOD13 data in 6 of 7 coastal LMEs

LME	Reynolds–WOD13 Correlation	Reynolds–WOD13 Amplitude ratio
East Bering Sea	0.76	0.88
Gulf of Alaska	0.85	1.03
California Current	0.84	0.90
Gulf of Mexico	0.82	0.90
Southeast U.S.	0.45	0.68
Northeast U.S.	0.87	0.89




# Focus on two forecast systems:

Component	GFDL-FLOR	NCEP CFSv2
Reference	Vecchi et al. (2014)	Saha et al., (2014)
Atmospheric/Land Resolution	50 km x 50 km	100 km x 100 km
Ocean/Sea Ice	GFDL-MOM4p1 ~100 km x 100 km	GFDL-MOM4p0 50 km x 50 km
Forecast Initialization	ECDA (Zhang et al., 2007)	CFSR (Saha et al., 2010)
Forecast Ensemble (1982-2010)	12, 12 month forecasts started on 1 <sup>st</sup> of each month	4, 9 month forecasts started every 5 <sup>th</sup> day


Can obtain from NMME: <http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/NMME/>

# Gulf of Alaska SST anomaly predictions


Persistence ACC



GFDL-FLOR ACC




-1



1

Forecast captures seasonal transition between less predictable localized SST anomaly and more predictable basin-scale patterns




Correlation between March GoA SST anomaly and SST anomalies over the North Pacific Basin

Correlation between August GoA SST anomaly and SST anomalies over the North Pacific Basin

# California Current patterns similar to GoA but as separable from persistence


Persistence ACC

Forecast lead (months)



Forecast initialization month

GFDL-FLOR ACC



Forecast initialization month


-1




1

# Insular Pacific/Hawaiian (IP/H) SST anomaly predictions

Persistence ACC



GFDL-FLOR ACC





-1



1

# Forecast captures seasonal transition between different basin-scale influences



-1

1


Correlation between Sep initialized SST anomaly and predicted Jan-Mar SST anomalies in the IP/H

Correlation between Feb forecast from Sep initialization and Jan-Mar IP/H anomalies


# Bering Sea SST anomaly predictions

Persistence ACC

Forecast lead (months)



GFDL-FLOR ACC



Forecast initialization month


Forecast initialization month

-1



1

# Sea-ice serves as a reservoir for propagating SST anomalies across the winter







# Smaller scale is challenges forecast systems in the Northeast U.S

Persistence ACC


Forecast lead (months)



GFDL-FLOR ACC




-1




1

# Multiple cases of skill above persistence in the Gulf of Mexico

Persistence ACC



GFDL-FLOR ACC



-1



1

Forecast initialization month

# Concluding thoughts

- Forecast skill varies widely by LME, initialization month, lead time and, to a degree, forecast system.
- There are many cases with high skill that also exceeds persistence. Analysis across 64 LMEs confirms this.
- Diverse mechanisms responsible for skill, but successfully capturing the interplay between local and basin-scale variation is a common thread.
- We had less luck with other variables, such as salinity, where even retrospective estimates often did not agree

# What is the value of this information to management?

- Pioneering applications for coral reefs, improving efficiency of fishing fleets, bycatch avoidance, and hypoxia.
- Tommasi exploring additional applications in US waters and in a stock assessment/prediction context together with fisheries and academic collaborators.
- Workshop June 3-5 in Princeton will provide a venue to discuss these case studies and develop new applications.

Questions: [charles.stock@noaa.gov](mailto:charles.stock@noaa.gov), [desiree.tomassi@noaa.gov](mailto:desiree.tomassi@noaa.gov)